
# Introduction



# euresearch

Swiss guide to European research and innovation









As a non-EU country, Switzerland has to negotiate the association to Horizon Europe.

Swiss entities can participate in collaborative research projects as "Associated Partners".

## **Associated Partners**



#### WHAT YES

- Implementation of significant parts of the project together with the main participants of the consortium (the beneficiaries and their affiliated entities)
- Lead of Work Packages
- PIC number

#### WHAT NOT

- Signature of the Grant Agreement
- Coordination of the project
- EU direct funding for costs reimbursement
- Access to the project on the Sygma platform

## **Associated Partners**



#### **LEGAL & ADMIN**

- Art. 9.1 of the GA includes definitions and obligations toward the EC
- Activities and tasks of the Associated Partner MUST be listed in the proposal and the Annex I of the GA
- The budget MUST be inserted under «Financial contribution»

#### UP TO YOU AND THE CONSORTIUM

- Signature of the Consortium Agreement
- Signature of an additional agreement with the consortium (describing, amongst others, the obligations of the Associated Partner and the handling of IP rights)

## More Information





The Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) informs regularly on their dedicated website, including FAQ for researchers:

Ly www.horizon-europe.ch







Excellent Science
Pillar 1

Global Challenges + European Industrial Competitiveness

Pillar 2


Innovative Europe
Pillar 3

Widening Participation and Strengthening the European Research Area




## **Basic Rules**

EU commission





# The Logic of Horizon Europe & Impact Pathways








#### **Destination**





**Expected Outcomes**: what the project has to deliver

Scope: what the project has to address, which activities are asked for

# **Proposal Structure**





Part A	Part B
	Excellence
	Impact
	Implementation





#### **Documentation and Submission**







# Standard evaluation process



Individual evaluation

Experts assess proposals individually. Minimum of three experts per proposal (but often more than three).

Consensus group

All individual experts discuss together to agree on a **common position**, including comments and scores for each proposal.

Panel review

The panel of experts reach an **agreement** on the scores and comments for all proposals within a call, checking **consistency across the evaluations**.


if necessary, resolve cases where evaluators were unable to agree.

Rank the proposals with the same score

**Finalisation** 

The Commission/Agency reviews the results of the experts' evaluation and puts together the **final ranking list**.







1.
Be ambitious and realistic

2.
Understand call conditions and text

3.
Define your outcomes and impacts

4.
Build an
effective work
plan & good
project team

5. Simple to digest